

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
FLORENCE DIVISION

Marvin Lee Trotter,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	Civil Action No.: 9:09-00542-TLW-BM
)	
Bradley Scott Harris,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
_____)	

ORDER

The plaintiff, Marvin Lee Trotter (“plaintiff”), proceeding *pro se*, filed this civil action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. (Doc. #1). The case was referred to Magistrate Judge Bristow Marchant pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 73.02 (B)(2)(c), DSC.

This matter now comes before this Court for review of the Report and Recommendation (“the Report”) filed by the Magistrate Judge to whom this case had previously been assigned. (Doc. #8). On March 18, 2009 the Magistrate Judge issued the Report. In the Report, the Magistrate Judge recommends that the complaint in this action be dismissed without prejudice and without issuance and service of process. (Doc. #8). The plaintiff filed no objections to the report. Objections were due on April 6, 2009.

This Court is charged with conducting a de novo review of any portion of the Magistrate Judge’s Report and Recommendation to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that report. 28 U.S.C. § 636. In the absence of objections to the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, this

Court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. See Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983).

The Court has carefully reviewed the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation. It is hereby **ORDERED** that the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation is **ACCEPTED**. (Doc. #8). For the reasons articulated by the Magistrate Judge, the complaint in this case is **DISMISSED** without prejudice and without issuance and service of process.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Terry L. Wooten
United States District Judge

September 29, 2009
Florence, South Carolina